## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

MARK VASQUEZ PAJAS, et al., Plaintiffs,

v.

COUNTY OF MONTEREY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 16-cv-00945-BLF

**VERDICT FORM** 

JURY	VERDICT	FORM

1 We, the jury, unanimously find as follows: 2 I. DENIAL OF ADEQUATE MEDICAL CARE 3 Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants' employees denied 1. 4 adequate medical care to Mark Pajas, Sr. in violation of his rights under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution? 5 YES NO 6 2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant CHRISTINA 7 KAUPP was deliberately indifferent to the serious medical needs of Mark Pajas, Sr., and that this caused harm to Mark Pajas, Sr.? 8 YES X NO 9 If you answered "YES" to Question 1, did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the 3. 10 evidence that a policy, practice, custom, and/or failure to train of Defendants CFMG and/or the County of Monterey was the moving force in the denial of adequate medical care, and 11 that this caused harm to Mark Pajas, Sr.? 12 CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP YES 13 COUNTY OF MONTEREY 14 Go to Question 4. 15 II. FAILURE TO PROTECT FROM HARM 16 4. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants' employees failed to protect Mark Pajas, Sr. in violation of his rights under the Fourteenth Amendment to the 17 United States Constitution? YES X NO 18 19 5. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant CHRISTINA KAUPP was deliberately indifferent in failing to protect Mark Pajas, Sr., and that this caused 20 harm to Mark Pajas, Sr?. 21 22 If you answered "YES" to Question 4, did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the 6. evidence that a policy, practice, custom, and/or failure to train of Defendants CFMG and/or 23 the County of Monterey was the moving force in the failure to protect, and that this caused harm to Mark Pajas, Sr.? 24 CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP 25 COUNTY OF MONTEREY YES NO 26 If you answered "YES" to Questions 2, 3, 5 and/or 6 above, go to Question 7. 27 Otherwise, go to Ouestion 8. 28

1	111.	INTE	ERFERENCE WITH FAMILIAL RELATIONS		
2		7.	For any Defendant you found liable under Sections I and/or II above, did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant's actions violated Plaintiffs' rights to familial relationships under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution?		
4			CHRISTINA KAUPPYES XNO		
5			CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUPYES _XNO		
6			COUNTY OF MONTEREY		
7			Go to Question 8.		
8	IV.	WRO	NGFUL DEATH		
9		8.	If you found that CHRISTINA KAUPP's and/or CFMG employees' acts were deliberately		
10		0.	indifferent, did the deliberate indifference result in CFMG acting wrongfully towards Mark Pajas, Sr.?		
			YESXNO		
12		9.	If you answered "Yes" to Question 8, was CFMG's wrongful act the cause of Mark Pajas, Sr.'s death?		
14			YES NO		
15			If you answered "NO" to Questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, then skip Section V and		
16			sign and date this form.		
17			If you answered "YES" to Questions 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and/or 9, please answer Questions 10, 11 and 12.		
18	V.	DAM	AGES		
19		10.	What do you find to be the total amount of damages suffered by each of the following		
20			Plaintiffs?		
21			a. ESTATE OF MARK PAJAS, SR.		
22			Amount: \$		
23			b. ROSEMARY LOPEZ		
24			Amount: \$ \\ \( \frac{00,000}{} \)		
25			c. YVETTE PAJAS		
26			Amount: \$ 375,000		
			d. MARK PAJAS, JR.		
7 1			d. 1411 Hdt 1710/16, 010.		
27 28			Amount: \$ 375,000		

1		e. JANEL PAJAS	
2		Amount: \$ 375,000	
3		f. XAIVER PAJAS Amount: \$ 375,000	
4		Amount: \$ 375,000	
5		Go to Question 11.	
6	11.	With respect to your findings on Questions 2, 3, 5,	6, and/or 7 for denial of adequate
7		medical care, failure to protect, and/or interference Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence acted with malice, oppression, or reckless disregard	ce that any of the following Defendants
8		CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP	yes X no
9		CHRISTINA KAUPP	YES X_NO
10	12.	With respect to your finding on Question 9 for wro	ngful death, do you find that Plaintiffs
11		proved by clear and convincing evidence that the for oppression, or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' right	ollowing Defendant acted with malice, nts?
12		CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP	YES _XNO
13	VI. SIGN	AND DATE THE SPECIAL VERDICT FORM	
14	Signed:	Alyss & ClIm	
15	Foreperson of	f the Jury: Alyssa J Olson	
16	Dated:	2/7/19	
17	After	this verdict form has been signed and dated, please s	ubmit a Jury Note to the Court Security
18	Courtroom D	ying the Court that the Jury has reached a verdict. Ple Peputy.	ase return the verdict form to the
19			
20			
21			
22			•
23		•	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			